

Remarks/Arguments

Claims 1-17 remain in the application. Claims 1-17 are rejected.

Telephone Interview Summary

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for conducting the telephone interviews of January 19, 2006 and of January 30, 2006. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of the telephone interviews is provided, as follows:

- a) No exhibits were shown nor was any demonstration conducted.
- b) Claim 1 was discussed.
- c) The specific references that were discussed include United States Patent 5,733,643 and the NPL documents referred to as Lai and Fedtech (both of IDS filed 3-26-04).
- d) No claim amendments of a substantive nature were proposed during the telephone interview.
- e) Applicant argued during the telephone interview of January 19, 2006 that no combination of United States Patent 5,733,643 and the NPL documents referred to as Lai and Fedtech result in the invention as claimed at claim 1. In particular, the references, when combined, merely teach the prior art process for making multi-hit armor, as is outlined at paragraph [006] of the application as originally filed.
- g) The Examiner indicated during the telephone interview of January 30, 2006 that the claims are now considered to be in proper condition for allowance.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green (United States Patent 5,733,643) in view of Lai and Fedtech (both of IDS filed 3-26-04).

The Examiner has indicated during the telephone interview of January 30, 2006 that the claims are now considered to be in proper condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the final rejection of claims 1-17 under 35 USC 103(a) be withdrawn. Applicant considers the telephone interview summary, which is provided above, to be fully responsive to the rejections that are contained in the final Office Action mailed on November 17, 2005.

Applicant respectfully requests that the instant response be entered after the mailing of a final Office Action, since the application is now considered to be in allowable form.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the indication of the allowability of claims 1-17 currently of record.

The office is hereby authorized to deduct from Deposit Account No: 50-1142 any extension of time fee that is due upon receipt of the instant response.

Please charge any additional fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No: 50-1142.

Applicant respectfully submits that no new matter has been added.

Respectfully submitted,



Freedman and Associates
117 Centrepointhe Drive, Suite 350
Nepean, Ontario
K2G 5X3 Canada

Tel: (613) 274-7272
Fax: (613) 274-7414

MW/sah